

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1459 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F. | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/006,497 | | 12/03/2001 | Rainer Graefe | Mo6566/LeA 34,399 | 8050 | |
| 157 | 7590 | 01/12/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| BAYER PO | | RS LLC | | REDDICK, | MARIE L | |
| PITTSBURG | | 15205 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 1713 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ** | Application No. | Applicant(s) | \ | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/006,497 | GRAEFE ET AL. | | | | | |
| . Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Judy M. Reddick | 1713 | U | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communi | cation appears on the cover sheet w | with the correspondence add | iress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2003 FAIL Therefore, further action by the applicant is refinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Noti Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF | equired to avoid abandonment of the either: (1) a timely filed amendrice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or | his application. A proper re ment which places the appli | ply to a cation in | | | | |
| PERIC | DD FOR REPLY [check either a) or | r b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths fro | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing of event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST 706,07(f). | expire later than SIX MONTHS from the ma | illing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the J 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | period of extension and the corresponding and the shortened statutory period for reply origin | nount of the fee. The appropriate ex nally set in the final Office action; or | tension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension ther | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be | e entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would re | equire further consideration and/or | search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter | (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the a issues for appeal; and/or | application in better form for appea | al by materially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims with | nout canceling a corresponding nu | mber of finally rejected clair | ms. | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | · | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following | owing rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _ canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | would be allowable if submitt | ed in a separate, timely file | d amendment | | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ application in condition for allowance b | request for reconsideration has be ecause: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | een considered but does NC | OT place the | | | | |
| | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed a explanation of how the new or amende | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) | as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: NONE. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-5. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | : <u>NONE</u> . | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is | s a) approved or b) disappro | oved by the Examiner. | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is urged and maintained that the instantly claimed invention(1 & 3-5) is obvious within the meaning of 35 USC 103 over Vanderbilt et all in combination with applicants' own disclosure as per reasons clearly stated in the previous Office Action(09/25/03).

Continuation of 10. Other: Counsel is herein reminded that a reference is evaluated, as a whole, for what it fairly teaches and is in noway limited to bits and pieces and to this end, it is urged that it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to extrapolate, from the disclosure of Vanderbilt et al, the combination of powdered polyvinyl chloride and latexes based on conjugated dienes and acrylonitrile a per such having been within the purview of the general disclosure of Vanderbilt et al and with a reasonable expectation of success. Criticality for such, clearly commensurate in scope with the claims, not having been demonstrated on this record..